IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 221 of 2005

Between:

Md. Mubashir Ali, S/o Md. Muzaffrali,
R/o Bahadurpura, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Rep. by its Registrar,Kukatpally Campus, Hyderabad.
2 Controller of Examinations, JNTU , Kukatpally, Hyderabad.
3 Dr. V.R.K. College of Engineering and Technology Sivar Nookpally Village (V) & (G.P), Mallaial Mandal, Ramannapet (H.O), Jagityal, Karimnagar District, Rep. by its Principal.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, or order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not providing for revaluation of the answer scripts of the examination answer paper Production, Planning and Control, B.Tech, 4th year 2nd Semester (C4202) to unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents for revalue the answer sheets and award appropriate marks and pass such order or orders

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR. K.S.MURTHY

Counsel for the Respondents : MR.K.RATHANGA PANI REDDY

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO.221 OF 2005

ORAL ORDER :

The petitioner who is pursuing B.Tech. Course in the 3rd respondent College and appeared for the 4th year Second Semester examinations in the month of May, 2004 filed this writ petition seeking a Writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the respondents in not providing for revaluation of the answer-script of the examination of the answer paper - Production, Planning and Control of B.Tech. as arbitrary and illegal.

This Court having heard the learned Counsel for both the parties, by order dated 19-01-2005 directed the learned Standing Counsel for the 1st respondent University to produce the answer script of the petitioner relating to Production, Planning and Control of B.Tech. Accordingly, the learned Standing Counsel has produced the answer script.

I have gone through the said answer script and the petitioner who is present in the Court is also permitted to go through the said paper. The petitioner as well as the learned Counsel for the petitioner have gone through the answer script. The petitioner has admitted that it is his own script and that all the questions answered by him have been awarded marks. It is not for this Court to sit in Appeal against the valuation made by the experts and therefore I am not inclined to express any opinion with regard to the correctness of the marks awarded. Since it is clear that all the questions answered by the petitioner have been awarded marks, no further adjudication is necessary in the writ petition.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

1st March, 2005

gbs

To

1. The Registrar, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University,

Kukatpally Campus, Hyderabad.
2 The Controller of Examinations, JNTU , Kukatpally, Hyderabad.
3 The Principal, Dr. V.R.K. College of Engineering and Technology Sivar Nookpally Village (V) & (G.P), Mallaial Mandal, Ramannapet (H.O), Jagityal, Karimnagar District.

4. 2 CD copies